

(3)
①
Groot

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No.3655 OF 2003.

PETITIONER

: B. B. Verma, S/o Shri Maniram
Verma, Aged about 46 years,
Assitant Project Officer,
Agriculture Department,
Takhatpur, District Bilaspur,
(C.G.).

P. R. No.

Presented by Shri K. J. Pandey

dated 05.11.03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary
Agriculture Department, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).
2. Shri S. K. Verma,
Deputy Director Agriculture,
Kanker, District Kanker
(C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
5.11.03
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur


WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मायला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3655/03 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>23/01/2004</u> Shri R.M. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agarwal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 25/09/2003 (Annexure P/1) by which he has been transferred from Takhatpur District Bilaspur to Kanker District Kanker and the respondent no.2 has been transferred in place of the petitioner. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Assistant Project Officer, Agriculture Department, Takhatpur, Disgtrict Bilaspur since 1998 and there is no complaint against the petitioner and the petitioner has been transferred from Taakhatpur to Kanker in order to accommodate the respondent no.2 and the transfer of the petitioner is not on the ground of administrative exigency.	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

सामग्री क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश ~ ०२-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent no.1 to which counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner with a reasoned order. With the aforesaid observations and directions the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3298/2003 & I.A. No.10483/2003 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Rishu/12